UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,986	06/25/2003	Anthony J. Wasilewski	A-9233	3781
	7590 11/19/200 ATLANTA, INC.	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUA	AL PROPERTY DEPA	CHAI, LONGBIT		
	5030 SUGARLOAF PARKWAY LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30044			PAPER NUMBER
			2431	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOmail@sciatl.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,986	WASILEWSKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LONGBIT CHAI	2431	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apple 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest formula is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration. /or election requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 June 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ne 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burest * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/602,986 Page 2

Art Unit: 2431

DETAILED ACTION

1. Currently pending claims are 1 - 22.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Upon further reviews by Office, the Affidavits filed on 1 June 2007 to support the critical feature of the amended claim limitation (filed on 8/1/2006) in order to distinguish from the priorarts is not persuasive because (a) an Affidavits filed by one of the inventors is considered as self-interested, (b) the filed Affidavits merely bears a <u>statement</u> without providing clear and specific <u>evidence</u> to substantiate the conclusive statements, and (c) the beneficial priority date appears failing to support the claimed subject matter such as "selecting for encryption ... using a identifier". Therefore, the beneficial priority date has been denied until such that Applicant can show supports since the priority date is not supported in the sense <u>what is being claimed</u> and the effective filing date of the claims is thus the filing date of the instant application i.e. 6/25/2003 (without the beneficial priority date).
- 3. The rejection of <u>double patenting</u> has been withdrawn in response to the Terminal Disclaimer filed on 12 August 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1 – 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Candelore et al. (U.S. Patent 7,376,233) – with incorporated by reference of Unger et al. (U.S. Patent 2003/0026423).

As per claim **1 and 13**, Candelore / Unger teaches a method for providing an instance in a conditional access system, the method comprising the steps of:

selecting for encryption a digital bit stream from a plurality of digital bit streams using an identifier (Candelore: Column 3 Line 51 - 62, Column 6 Line 16 - 22 and Column 4 Line 3 - 16: PID is used by the selective encryption encoder to determine which packets are to be encrypted according a specific encryption method);

encrypting the selected digital bit stream according to a first level encryption method to provide an encrypted instance (Candelore: Column 4 Line 13 – 16: PID is used by the selective encryption encoder to determine which packets are to be encrypted according a specific encryption method);

combining tire encrypted instance with the plurality of digital bit streams to provide a partially-encrypted bit stream (Candelore: Column 6 Line 16 – 51); and transmitting the partially-encrypted bit stream (Candelore: Figure 1).

As per claim **1 and 13**, Candelore / Unger teaches a method for providing an instance in a conditional access system, the method comprising the steps of:

selecting for encryption a digital bit stream from a plurality of digital bit streams
using an identifier (Unger: Para [0010] Line 1 – 7, Para [0037] Line 1 – 3, Para [0055] & Table
1: some implementation, as disclosed by Unger, of partial dual encryption involve duplicating
only certain packets tagged with a given packet identifier PID – i.e. the critical packets required

Art Unit: 2431

for encryption that involves duplicating to achieve dual encryption purpose are chosen only for those packets identified by (i.e. tagged with) a particular packet identifier PID);

encrypting the selected digital bit stream according to a first level encryption method to provide an encrypted instance (Unger: Table 1: EA = Encryption method A for legacy system and EB = Encryption method B for conventional system);

combining tire encrypted instance with the plurality of digital bit streams to provide a partially-encrypted bit stream (Unger: Figure 6: via a multiplex); and transmitting the partially-encrypted bit stream (Unger: Figure 6).

As per claim 2, 4, 14 and 15, Candelore / Unger teaches wherein the selecting step selects the digital bit stream by identifying a predetermined packet identifier (Candelore: Column 3 Line 51 - 62, Column 6 Line 16 - 22 and Column 4 Line 3 - 16) & (Unger: Para [0010] Line 1 - 7, Para [0037] Line 1 - 3).

As per claim 3, Candelore / Unger teaches all of the selected digital bit stream is encrypted according to the first level encryption method (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E318 & Para [0054], [0072] and [0073]).

As per claim 5, Candelore / Unger teaches a portion of the selected digital bit stream is encrypted, wherein the encrypted portion and an unencrypted portion of the selected digital stream are combined with the plurality of digital bit streams (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E322 & Para [0053] - [0058]).

Page 5

(Unger: Table 1 and Para [0055]).

As per claim 7, Candelore / Unger teaches the selected digital bit stream is an elementary digital bit stream (Unger: Table 1, Para [0087], [0109] and [0010]).

As per claim 8, Candelore / Unger teaches selecting more than one digital bit stream from the plurality of digital bit streams, wherein the more than one digital bit stream is identified by predetermined packet identifiers (Candelore: Column 3 Line 51 - 62, Column 6 Line 16 - 22 and Column 4 Line 3 - 16) & (Unger: Para [0010] Line 1 - 7, Para [0037] Line 1 - 3).

As per claim 9, Candelore / Unger teaches each of the more than one digital bit stream includes a distinct packet identifier, wherein the selecting step selects the more than one digital bit stream by identifying at least one of the distinct packet identifiers (Candelore: Column 3 Line 51 - 62, Column 6 Line 16 - 22 and Column 4 Line 3 - 16) & (Unger: Para [0010] Line 1 - 7, Para [0037] Line 1 - 3).

As per claim 10, Candelore / Unger teaches a portion of each of the more than one digital bit stream is encrypted according to the first level encryption method (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E318 & Para [0054], [0072] and [0073]).

As per claim 11, Candelore / Unger teaches all of the more than one digital bit stream is encrypted according to the first level encryption method (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E318 & Para [0054], [0072] and [0073]).

As per claim 12, Candelore / Unger teaches at least one of a portion of each of the more than one digital bit stream and all of the more than one digital bit stream is encrypted according to the first level encryption method (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E318 & Para [0054], [0072] and [0073]).

Page 6

As per claim 19, Candelore / Unger teaches the at least one of the plurality of packets is selected by the packet identifier indicative of the audio stream (Candelore: Column 3 Line 51 – 62, Column 6 Line 16 – 22 and Column 4 Line 3 – 16) & (Unger: Para [0010] Line 1 – 7, Para [0037] Line 1 – 3).

As per claim 20, Candelore / Unger teaches the encrypted portion includes at least one of the plurality of packets associated with the data stream (Unger: Para [0109] and [0010]).

As per claim 21, Candelore / Unger teaches the at least one of the plurality of packets is selected by the packet identifier indicative of the data stream (Candelore: Column 3 Line 51 – 62, Column 6 Line 16 – 22 and Column 4 Line 3 – 16) & (Unger: Para [0010] Line 1 – 7, Para [0037] Line 1 – 3).

As per claim 22, Candelore / Unger teaches the encrypted portion includes at least one of the plurality of packets associated with at least one of the video stream, the audio stream, and the data stream (Unger: Table 1, Para [0087], [0109] and [0010]).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LONGBIT CHAI whose telephone number is (571)272-3788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,986 Page 7

Art Unit: 2431

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Longbit Chai/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431